

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

ROBOCAST, INC.,
a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

NETFLIX, INC., a Delaware limited liability
company,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 22-305-RGA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties hereto, and subject to the approval of the Court, that the time for Plaintiff to file its Answering Brief in Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (D.I. 11) is extended through and including June 30, 2022, and the deadline for Defendant to file its Reply Brief in Support of their Motion to Dismiss (*id.*) is extended through and including August 24, 2022. This extension is necessary to accommodate summer schedules and other professional obligations.

Dated: June 3, 2022

/s/ Stephen B. Brauerman

Stephen B. Brauerman (#4952)
Ronald P. Golden III (#6254)
Bayard, P.A.
600 North King Street, Suite 400
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 655-5000
sbrauerman@bayardlaw.com
rgolden@bayardlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Robocast, Inc.

/s/ Kelly E. Farnan

Kelly E. Farnan (#4395)
Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A.
One Rodney Square
920 North King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 651-7700
farnan@rlf.com

Attorney for Defendant Netflix, Inc.

SO ORDERED this ____ day of _____, 2022.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE